

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

85

| Γ | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ΙA | TORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|---|--|-------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|---|
| L | 08/598,457 | 7 0270879 | 6 CURRY | | J | 414.013 | |
| Γ | | | | | | /ANGINED | _ |
| | MARIE UNIOCENANI | | LM01/1209 — | \neg | EXAMINER | | |
| | MARK UNGERMAN | | | | MEI,X | | |
| | FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 801 PENNSYVANIA AVENUE,N.W. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | t |
| | WASHINTON | DC 20004-2 | 04 | | 2747 | | _ |
| | | | • | | | | 0 |
| | | | | | DATE MAILED: | 12/09/98 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/598,457

Applicant(s)

Curry et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Xu Mei Group Art Unit

| ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 23, 1998</u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a). | within the period for response will cause the | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| Claims are su | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been | | | | | | | |
| received. | | | | | | | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | | | | | | | |
| received in this national stage application from the Internation | | | | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | | | | | | | |
| □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | | | | | |
| E House of Michigan atom Application, 110 102 | • | | | | | | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOW | WING PAGES | | | | | | |

Serial Number: 08/598,457

Art Unit: 2747

- 1. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendment dated 09/23/1998.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minami et al. (US Pat. 5,555,310, hereinafter, Minami) in view of Suzuki et al. (US Pat. 5,440,639, hereinafter, Suzuki) or Cooper et al. (US Pat. 4,910,799, hereafter, Cooper) or Tanaka et al. (US Pat. 5,598,478, hereinafter, Tanaka).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 24, Minami teaches a two-way communication system or conference system which provide virtual audio or stereo voice transmission for the listener at each end or terminal or station. Minami's system including left and right spatially disposed microphones at a conference station and left and right spatially disposed loudspeakers connected to a communication channel in a remote station (see Fig. 3 for example). The transfer function imparted

Serial Number: 08/598,457

Art Unit: 2747

in Minami may not be disclosed as head-related (HRTF's) transfer function, each of the secondary reference of Suzuki or Cooper or Tanaka teaches the head related features or functions (see for example Fig. 1B of Cooper or Figs. 17, 19 of Tanaka) for used in audio signal processing such as derived delays for off-axis sounds, acoustic filtering effects of the pinna, etc. to improve sound localization. Although each of the secondary reference is individually oriented, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the HRTF as taught by the secondary references of Suzuki and Cooper for the conference system taught by Minami in order to improve sound localization.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 16-17 and 25-26 see Fig. 3-5 of Minami.

Regarding claim 8, each of the secondary reference of Suzuki or Cooper or Tanaka shows the different positions measurement of the audio signal relating to the dummy head. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to used a head-tracking sensor and a position simulator for accurate HRTF measurement of the audio signal related to the dummy head.

Regarding claims 10-11, optimal positioning of the video camera and display would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide conferees with the best video signal caption and display.

Serial Number: 08/598,457

Art Unit: 2747

- 4. Method claims 13-14, 19-23 and 27 are rejected for the same reasoning as set forth for the rejection of various apparatus claims 1-12, 15-18 and 24-26 since the apparatus claims perform the same functions as the method claims.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)
Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xu Mei whose telephone number is (703) 308-6610.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

12/01/1998

ORESTER W. ISEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2002.7